

KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

Present: Shri. PremanDinaraj, Chairman
Shri. S. Venugopal, Member

File No. 0907/D(T)/2019/KSERC

In the matter of : Review petition against the order dated
01.02.2018 in OP No.11/2017

Petitioner : Thrissur Corporation Electricity Department
(TCED)

Respondent : Kerala State Electricity Board Ltd

Order dated 12.03.2020

1. The Commission vide its order dated 01.02.2018 in OP No. 11/2017, disposed the petition filed by M/s Thrissur Corporation Electricity Department (M/s TCED) in the approval of construction of a 110 kV substation at Kottappuram within the area of distribution of TCED, with the following orders;

“ (i) *There is no immediate requirement for the construction of a second 110 kV s/s within the distribution area of TCED at Kottappuram, extending 110kV supply from the existing substation.*

(ii) *KSEB Ltd as the State Transmission Utility (STU) of the State has the responsibility to provide alternate feeding arrangements to M/s TCED in line with the increase in electricity demand of the distribution area of TCED.*

(iii) *KSEB Ltd and TCED may discuss and finalise the alternate cost effective proposals submitted by KSEB Ltd to cater the additional load requirement up 18 MVA at the western side of the distribution area of TCED.*

The proposal to construct a 110 kV s/s at Kottappuram by M/s TCED, within their distribution area, is disposed of as above.”
2. M/s. TCED filed a review petition on 17.06.2019 against order dated 01.02.2018 in OP No. 11/2017, with a delay of 454 days. As per the KSERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2003 and its amendments, the petitioner should remit the fee of Rs 20,000/-, and Rs 10,000/- as fees for the

condonation of delay. However, the petitioner has not remitted the requisite fee along with the petition. The Commission vide the letter dated 19.06.2019 directed the petitioner to remit the fee, as prerequisite to admit the petition.

Subsequently, on 03.10.2019, M/s TCED remitted a Demand Draft for Rs 30,000/- but the said DD was time barred and the bank rejected the cheque submitted by TCED. The Commission vide the letter dated 10.10.2019, and subsequent reminder dated 03.02.2020 directed the petitioner to cure the defect and remit the requisite fee. But the petitioner did not cure the defect.

3. Since the petitioner has not remitted the requisite fee, the review petition is yet to be admitted. Further, there is inordinate delay in filing the review petition. Hence the Commission decided to list the matter for hearing on 6th March 2020, along with other petitions listed on the same date. During the hearing, Sri. Jose, Engineer, submitted that M/s TCED may file a separate proper review petition against the order of the Commission dated 01.02.2018 in OP No. 11/2017.
4. Considering the reasons as stated in the preceding paragraphs, the Commission hereby rejects the review petition dated 17.06.2019 against the order of the Commission dated 1.02.2018 as null and void.

Petition disposed as above.

Sd/-
S.Venugopal
Member

Sd/-
Preman Dinaraj
Chairman

Approved for Issue,

C R Satheesh Chandran,
Administrative Officer
(in charge of the Secretary)